

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I do not want to allow further discussion on the point at the moment. With regard to the point said that it should be rejected *in limini* I believe that the discussion that has taken place thereafter indicates that there may be something for consideration, not for rejection *in limini*. I do not know how far it applies so far as the point of order is concerned. A member is entitled to raise a point of order and the chair will desire whether there is any substance or not in it. If it is anything else where consent is necessary or where the Speaker can exercise the privilege permitting it to be raised, there may be a question of rejecting something *in limini*. But so far as the Point of order is concerned, I am not aware of any Ruling either in the House of Commons or in any of the Legislatures here or elsewhere, where such a point of order has been rejected *in limini* saying that 'there is no merit and therefore I reject it.' The point involved has become so complicated that a rejection *in limini* will not be proper. The argument that the point raised by Sri Ganji Veerappa should be rejected *in limini* has been more than answered by Sri V. S. Patil. He says that there are no conventions. Unless things are provided for in the rules, there is nothing like conventions. I believe it requires to be further examined, because the whole parliamentary procedure proceeds upon conventions the minimum of statute, maximum of rules and still more of conventions. That is how the entire concept of parliamentary procedure proceeds. Are we to ignore conventions? If that is so, why is it now asked that there should be a Leader of the Opposition? If the Rules do not provide I may as well say, I recognise nobody as Leader of the Opposition. But it would be going against the very grain of procedure. The Leader of the Opposition has got certain privileges as has been said. He must be in a position to form the Government if the Government in office is voted out. Are we to ignore all these things? Therefore I feel that it requires further consideration. I will hold it up for one week. Next Saturday, Hon'ble Members may come kindly prepared to discuss this matter further.

---

10-00 A.M.

#### Papers Laid on the Table.

**Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA** (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to lay :

- (1) Notification No. RD 97 ERG 64, dated 5th January 1964 (Amendments to the Mysore Societies Registration Rules, 1961), as required under sub-section (2) of Section 30 of the Mysore Societies Registration Act, 1960.
- (2) Order No. RD 33 EST 64, dated 19th December 1964 (Remission of Stamp Duty payable) as required under sub-section (2) of Section 9 of the Mysore Stamp Act, 1957.

**Sri R. M. PATIL** (Minister for Home).—Sir, I beg to lay Notification No. HD 122 TMT 64, dated 21st December 1964 (Reduction of Tax payable) as required under sub-section (2) of Section 16 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY** (Minister for Municipal Administration).—Sir, I beg to lay Notification No. PLM 10 MNP 64, dated 18th December 1964 (Mysore State Town Planning Board Rules, 1964) as required under sub-section (4) of Section 74 of the Mysore Town and Country Planning Act, 1961.

### Presention of Joint Select Committee Reports.

**Sri M. V. RAMA RAO** (Minister for Law).—Sir, I beg to present the Report of the Joint Select Committee on the Mysore Excise Bill, 1964.

**Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA** (Deputy Minister for Minor Irrigation).—Sir, I beg to present the Report of the Joint Select Committee on the Mysore Irrigation Bill, 1964.

### Members' Representations.

**Sri V. S. PATIL** (Belgaum I).—Sir, Government places all these orders and rules, etc., before the House. But, unless copies are supplied to us, how is it possible for us to study them and bring some mistakes to the notice of this House? Day before yesterday, they have placed a cart load of notifications and orders. But we have not received a single copy.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The expression Laying of papers on the Table has got a technical meaning. It enables inspection of these papers by any member. If copies are wanted I do not know whether copies are available for immediate distribution. That is another aspect. But whenever a paper is laid, a copy should be given that is not the rule. But if any member wants, I will try to help him.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—These rules are framed by the Government to several Acts. If copies are not made available to us, how can we move the Government or the House to get any amendment made?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—New the procedure that is followed is not contrary to the rules. If he wants that copies should be made available, let him make a request and I will deal with it.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Whenever any rules are framed and those rules are placed before this House, copies of such rules should be supplied to the members.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It is a new request. All these years we have functioned ever since the Reorganisation of States without supplying copies as a matter of duty or routine. I shall certainly assist the members. May I suggest that I will get three copies of the rule to be placed in the library or the reading room or any other place for reference at a convenient place? I will do it immediately. For the rest, I will try to see what I can do.